arXiv:1509.03948v1 [math-ph] 14 Sep 2015

Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras

Bing Sun, Liangyun Chen

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, China

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of Rota-Baxter operators and differential operators with weights on a multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra. We then focus on Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras and show that they can be derived from Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebras, Hom-preLie algebras and Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associative algebras. We also explore the connections between these Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras.

Key words: multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras, Rota-Baxter algebras, Hom-preLie algebras.
Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 16W20, 05B07.

1 Introduction

Hom-type generalizations of n-ary Nambu-Lie algebras, called n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras, were introduced by Ataguema, Makhlouf, and Silvestrov in [4]. Each n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra has $n-1$ linear twisting maps, which appear in a twisted generalization of the n-ary Nambu identity called the n-ary Hom-Nambu identity. If the twisting maps are all equal to the identity, one recovers an n-ary Nambu-Lie algebra. The twisting maps provide a substantial amount of freedom in manipulating Nambu-Lie algebras. For example, in [4] it is demonstrated that some ternary Nambu-Lie algebras can be regarded as ternary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras with non-identity twisting maps.

In recent years, Rota-Baxter (associative) algebras, originated from the work of G. Baxter [10] in probability and populated by the work of Cartier and Rota [11, 25, 26], have

---

Corresponding author(L. Chen): chenly640@nenu.edu.cn.

Supported by NNSF of China (Nos.11171055 and 11471090), NSF of Jilin province (No. 201115006).

also been studied in connection with many areas of mathematics and physics, including combinatorics, number theory, operators and quantum field theory [1,8,15–19,25,26]. In particular Rota-Baxter algebras have played an important role in the Hopf algebra approach of renormalization of perturbative quantum field theory of Connes and Kreimer [12–14], as well as in the application of the renormalization method in solving divergent problems in number theory [19,24]. Furthermore, Rota-Baxter operators on a Lie algebra are an operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equations and contribute to the study of integrable systems [7,8,27]. Further Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras are closely related to preLie algebras [6].

Thus it is time to study multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras and Rota-Baxter algebras together to get a suitable definition of Rota-Baxter multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. In this paper we establish a close relationship of our definition of Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras with well-known concepts of Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associative or Hom-Lie algebras. This on one hand justifies the definition of Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras and on the other hand provides a rich source of examples for Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. The concepts of differential operators and Rota-Baxter operators with weights for general (non-associative) Hom-algebras are introduced in Section 2. The duality of the two concepts are established. In Section 3 we extend the connections [2,5,20] from Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-preLie algebras to multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras to the context of Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we construct Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associative algebras. In Section 5 we construct Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. We also consider the refined case of multiplicative Hom-Lie triple systems.

2 Differential multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebras and Rota-Baxter multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebras

We first recall some concepts related to Hom-algebras.

Definition 2.1. *[23] A Hom-module is a pair (L, α) consisting of a $\mathbb{K}$-module L and a linear selfmap $\alpha : L \to L$, called the twisting map.*

A Hom-algebra is a triple (L, μ, α) consisting of a Hom-module (L, α) and a bilinear map $\mu : L \otimes L \to L$.

A Hom-algebra (L, μ, α) is said to be a multiplicative if for all $x, y \in L$ we have $\alpha(\mu(x, y)) = \mu(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))$.

Definition 2.2. *[28] A multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra $(L, \langle, \cdots, \rangle, \alpha)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{K}$-module L, an n-linear map $\langle, \cdots, \rangle : L^{\otimes n} \to L$ and a linear map $\alpha : L \to L$, called the twisting map.*

Definition 2.3. [4] *A multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ consisting of a vector space L over a field $\mathbb{K}$, an n-ary multi-linear skew-symmetric operation $[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot] : L^{\otimes n} \to L$ and a linear map α satisfying*

$$[\alpha(y_2), \cdots, \alpha(y_n), [x_1, \cdots, x_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\alpha(x_1), \cdots, [x_i, y_2, \cdots, y_n], \cdots, \alpha(x_n)], \tag{2.1}$$

where α is applied to all the n components outside of the inner bracket.

In particular, when $n = 3$, by Eq. *(2.1), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}[\alpha(y_2), \alpha(y_3), [x_1, x_2, x_3]] =& [[x_1, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_2), \alpha(x_3)] + [\alpha(x_1), [x_2, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_3)] \\ &+ [\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), [x_3, y_2, y_3]] \ for\ all\ x_1, x_2, x_3, y_2, y_3 \in L.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Under the skew-symmetric condition, the equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}[[x_1, x_2, x_3], \alpha(y_2), \alpha(y_3)] = & [[x_1, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_2), \alpha(x_3)] + [[x_2, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_3), \alpha(x_1)] \\ &+ [[x_3, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2)]\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[[x_1, x_2, x_3], \alpha(y_2), \alpha(y_3)] =& [[x_1, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_2), \alpha(x_3)] + [\alpha(x_1), [x_2, y_2, y_3], \alpha(x_3)] \\ &+ [\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), [x_3, y_2, y_3]].\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.4. [22] *A Hom-associative algebra is a triple $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$ consisting of a vector space L and a linear map $\alpha : L \to L$ satisfies*

$$\alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z).$$

An endomorphism α is said to be an element of the centroid if $\alpha(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \cdot y = x \cdot \alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

The centroid of $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$ is defined by

$$Cent(L) = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{End}(L) : \alpha(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \cdot y = x \cdot \alpha(y),\ \forall x, y \in L\}.$$

Definition 2.5. [22] *Let $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$ be a Hom-algebra and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. If a linear map $P : L \to L$ satisfies*

$$P(x) \cdot P(y) = P(P(x) \cdot y + x \cdot P(y) + \lambda x \cdot y) \ for\ all\ x, y \in L, \tag{2.5}$$

then P is called a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ and $(L, \cdot, \alpha, P)$ is called a Rota-Baxter Hom-algebra of weight λ.

Definition 2.6. *Let $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$ be a Hom-algebra and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. If a linear map $D : L \to L$ satisfies*

$$D(x \cdot y) = D(x) \cdot \alpha^k(y) + \alpha^k(x) \cdot D(y) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D,$$

for all $x, y \in L$, where k is a non-negative integer, then D is called an α^k-derivation on $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$.

More generally, a linear map $d : L \to L$ is called a derivation of weight λ on $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$ if

$$d(x \cdot y) = d(x) \cdot \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \cdot d(y) + \lambda d(x) \cdot d(y) \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$d \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ d,$$

for all $x, y \in L$.

We generalize the concepts of a Rota-Baxter operator and differential operator to multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebras.

Definition 2.7. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ be fixed. A derivation of weight λ on a multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ is a linear map $d : L \to L$ such that*

$$d(\langle x_1, \cdots, x_n \rangle) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \cdots, n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1} \langle \bar{d}(x_1), \cdots, \bar{d}(x_i), \cdots, \bar{d}(x_n) \rangle, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\bar{d}(x_i) := \bar{d}_I(x_i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} d(x_i) & i \in I, \\ \alpha(x_i) & i \notin I \end{array} \right.$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in L$. Then $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ is called a differential multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra of weight λ. In particular, a differential multiplicative 3-ary Hom-algebra of weight λ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-algebra $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ with a linear map $d : L \to L$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle) \\ =& \langle d(x_1), \alpha(x_2), \alpha(x_3) \rangle + \langle \alpha(x_1), d(x_2), \alpha(x_3) \rangle + \langle \alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), d(x_3) \rangle \\ & + \lambda \langle d(x_1), d(x_2), \alpha(x_3) \rangle + \lambda \langle d(x_1), \alpha(x_2), d(x_3) \rangle + \lambda \langle \alpha(x_1), d(x_2), d(x_3) \rangle \\ & + \lambda^2 \langle d(x_1), d(x_2), d(x_3) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Definition 2.8. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ be fixed. A Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on a multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ is a linear map $P : L \to L$ such that*

$$\langle P(x_1), \cdots, P(x_n) \rangle = P\Big(\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \cdots, n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1} \langle \hat{P}(x_1), \cdots, \hat{P}(x_i), \cdots, \hat{P}(x_n) \rangle \Big), \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\hat{P}(x_i) := \hat{P}_I(x_i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_i & i \in I, \\ P(x_i) & i \notin I \end{array} \right.$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in L$. Then $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha, P)$ is called a Rota-Baxter multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra of weight λ. In particular, a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-algebra of weight λ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-algebra $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ with a linear *map $P : L \to L$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle P(x_1), P(x_2), P(x_3)\rangle\\ =&P(\langle P(x_1), P(x_2), x_3\rangle + \langle P(x_1), x_2, P(x_3)\rangle + \langle x_1, P(x_2), P(x_3)\rangle\\ &+ \lambda\langle P(x_1), x_2, x_3\rangle + \lambda\langle x_1, P(x_2), x_3\rangle + \lambda\langle x_1, x_2, P(x_3)\rangle\\ &+ \lambda^2\langle x_1, x_2, x_3\rangle).\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Specially, for $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$ Bai and Guo gave the differential and Rota-Baxter operators on n-algebra in [6]

Theorem 2.9. *Let $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative n-ary Hom-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and α be an algebraic automorphism. An invertible linear map $P : L \to L$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$ if and only if αP^{-1} is a differential operator of weight λ on $(L, <, \cdots, >, \alpha)$.*

Proof. If an invertible linear map $P : L \to L$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ, then for $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in L$, let $y_i = P^{-1}(x_i)$. Then by Eq. (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha P^{-1}(\langle x_1, \cdots, x_n\rangle) &= \alpha P^{-1}(\langle P(y_1), \cdots, P(y_n)\rangle)\\ &= \alpha(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\langle \hat{P}(y_1), \cdots, \hat{P}(y_i), \cdots, \hat{P}(y_n)\rangle)\\ &= \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\langle \alpha\hat{P}P^{-1}(x_1), \cdots, \alpha\hat{P}P^{-1}(x_i), \cdots, \alpha\hat{P}P^{-1}(x_n)\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\langle \overline{\alpha P^{-1}}(x_1), \cdots, \overline{\alpha P^{-1}}(x_i), \cdots, \overline{\alpha P^{-1}}(x_n)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore αP^{-1} is a derivation of weight λ.

Conversely, let αP^{-1} be a derivation of weight λ. For $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in A$, by Eq. (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha P^{-1}(\langle P(x_1), \cdots, P(x_n)\rangle)\\ =&\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\langle \overline{\alpha P^{-1}}P(x_1), \cdots, \overline{\alpha P^{-1}}P(x_i), \cdots, \overline{\alpha P^{-1}}P(x_n)\rangle\\ =&\alpha(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\langle \hat{P}(x_1), \cdots, \hat{P}(x_i), \cdots, \hat{P}(x_n)\rangle).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle P(x_1), \cdots, P(x_n)\rangle = P(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\langle \hat{P}(x_1), \cdots, \hat{P}(x_i), \cdots, \hat{P}(x_n)\rangle).$$

This proves the result. □

3 Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-preLie algebras

We first recall the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *[3] Let $(L,[,],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie algebra and L^* be the dual space of L. Suppose that $f\in L^*$ satisfies $f([x,y])=0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ for all $x,y\in L$. Then there is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra structure on L given by*

$$[x,y,z]_f=f(x)[y,z]+f(y)[z,x]+f(z)[x,y]\quad for\, all\, x,y,z\in L. \tag{3.12}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(L,[,],\alpha,P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight λ. Suppose that $f\in L^*$ satisfies $f([x,y])=0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ for all $x,y\in L$. Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_f,\alpha)$ define in* Eq. *(3.12) if and only if P satisfies*

$$f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),P(y)]\in \mathrm{Ker}(P+\lambda \mathrm{id})\quad for\, all\, x,y,z\in L,$$

where $\mathrm{id}:L\to L$ *is the identity map.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, L is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra with the multiplication $[,,]_f$ defined in Eq. (3.12). Now for any $x,y,z\in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P(x),P(y),P(z)]_f\\
=&f(P(x))[P(y),P(z)]+f(P(y))[P(z),P(x)]+f(P(z))[P(x),P(y)]\\
=&f(P(x))P([P(y),z]+[y,P(z)]+\lambda[y,z])+f(P(y))P([P(z),x]+[z,P(x)]+\lambda[z,x])\\
&+f(P(z))P([P(x),y]+[x,P(y)]+\lambda[x,y])
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Eqs. (2.5) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&P([P(x),P(y),z]_f+[P(x),y,P(z)]_f+[x,P(y),P(z)]_f\\
&+\lambda([P(x),y,z]_f+[x,P(y),z]_f+[x,y,P(z)]_f)+\lambda^2[x,y,z]_f)\\
=&P(f(P(x))[P(y),z]+f(P(y))[z,P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),P(y)]\\
&+f(P(x))[y,P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+f(P(z))[P(x),y]\\
&+f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+f(P(y))[P(z),x]+f(P(z))[x,P(y)]\\
&+\lambda(f(P(x))[y,z]+f(y)[z,P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),y])\\
&+\lambda(f(x)[P(y),z]+f(P(y))[P(z),x]+f(z)[x,P(y)])\\
&+\lambda(f(x)[y,P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),x]+f(P(z))[x,y])\\
&+\lambda^2(f(x)[y,z]+f(y)[z,x]+f(z)[x,y]))\\
=&[P(x),P(y),P(z)]_f+P(f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),P(y)])\\
&+\lambda f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+\lambda f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+\lambda f(z)[P(x),P(y)])\\
=&[P(x),P(y),P(z)]_f+(P+\lambda\mathrm{id})(f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),P(y)]).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the theorem follows. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(L,[,],\alpha,P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight zero. Suppose that $f\in L^*$ satisfies $f([x,y])=0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$. Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_f,\alpha)$ defined in* Eq. *(3.12) if and only if P satisfies*

$$[f(x)P(y)-f(y)P(x),z]+[f(y)P(z)-f(z)P(y),x]+[f(z)P(x)-f(x)P(z),y]\in \mathrm{Ker}P^2,$$

for all $x,y,z\in L$.

In particular, if $P^2=0$ and $f\in L^*$ satisfies $f([x,y])=0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ for all $x,y\in L$. Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_f,\alpha)$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&P([P(x),P(y),z]_f+[P(x),y,P(z)]_f+[x,P(y),P(z)]_f)\\=&[P(x),P(y),P(z)]_f+P(f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),P(y)])\\=&[P(x),P(y),P(z)]_f+P^2([f(x)P(y)-f(y)P(x),z]+[f(y)P(z)-f(z)P(y),x]\\&+[f(z)P(x)-f(x)P(z),y]),\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first statement of the corollary.

If $P^2=0$, then

$$P([P(x),P(y),z]_f+[P(x),y,P(z)]_f+[x,P(y),P(z)]_f)=[P(x),P(y),P(z)]_f,$$

which proves that P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_f,\alpha)$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *[22] Let $(L,[,],P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and $\alpha:L\to L$ be a Lie algebra endomorphism commuting with P. Then $(L,[,]_\alpha,\alpha,P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra, where $[,]_\alpha=\alpha\circ[,]$.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(L,[,],\alpha,P)$ be a Lie algebra of weight λ and $\alpha P=P\alpha$. Suppose that $f\in L^*$ satisfies $f([x,y]_\alpha)=0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ for all $x,y\in L$. Then $(L,[,,]_f,\alpha,P)$ is a multiplicative Rota-Baxter 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight λ if and only if P satisfies*

$$f(x)[P(y),P(z)]_\alpha+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]_\alpha+f(z)[P(x),P(y)]_\alpha\in\mathrm{Ker}(P+\lambda\mathrm{id}),$$

where $[,]_\alpha=\alpha\circ[,]$ and

$$[x,y,z]_f=f(x)[y,z]_\alpha+f(y)[z,x]_\alpha+f(z)[x,y]_\alpha\quad \textit{for all}\, x,y,z\in L. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.1, $(L,[,]_\alpha,\alpha,P)$ is a Hom-Lie algebra of weight λ with the multiplication $[,]_\alpha=\alpha\circ[,]$ and L is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra with the multiplication $[,,]_f$ defined in Eq. (3.13). The rest of the proof is very similar to that of Theorem 3.2, so we will omit the details. ☐

We now study the realizations of Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras by Rota-Baxter Hom-associative algebras and Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebras.

Definition 3.6. [22] *Hom-preLie algebra is a triple* $(L, *, \alpha)$ *consisting of a vector space* L*, a bilinear map* $* : L \otimes L \to L$ *and a homomorphism* α *satisfying*

$$\alpha(x) * (y * z) - (x * y) * \alpha(z) = \alpha(y) * (x * z) - (y * x) * \alpha(z).$$

It is obvious that all Hom-associative algebras are Hom-preLie algebras.

For a Hom-preLie algebra $(L, *, \alpha)$, the commutator

$$[x, y]_* := x * y - y * x, \tag{3.14}$$

defines a Hom-Lie algebra $G(L) = (L, [,]_*, \alpha)$, called the sub-adjacent of the Hom-preLie algebra $(L, *, \alpha)$.

If a linear map $P : L \to L$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on a Hom-preLie algebra $(L, *, \alpha)$, that is, P satisfies

$$P(x) * P(y) = P(P(x) * y + x * P(y) + \lambda x * y) \ for\, all\, x, y \in L,$$

then P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on its sub-adjacent Hom-Lie algebra $G(L) = (L, [,]_*, \alpha)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ *be a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight* λ*. Then* $(L, \cdot, \alpha, P)$ *is a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight* λ*, where the multiplication* $[,]_*$ *is defined in* Eq. *(3.14).*

Proof. It is obvious that for any $x, y, z \in L$,

$$[x, y]_* = -[y, x]_*.$$

Furthermore, for any $x, y, z \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha(x), [y, z]_*]_* + [\alpha(y), [z, x]_*]_* + [\alpha(z), [x, y]_*]_* \\
=&\alpha(x) * (y * z) - \alpha(x) * (z * y) - (y * z) * \alpha(x) \\
&+ (z * y) * \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) * (z * x) - \alpha(y) * (x * z) \\
&- (z * x) * \alpha(y) + (x * z) * \alpha(y) + \alpha(z) * (x * y) \\
&- \alpha(z) * (y * x) - (x * y) * \alpha(z) + (y * x) * \alpha(z) \\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
[P(x), P(y)]_* &= P(x) * P(y) - P(y) * P(x) \\
&= P(P(x) * y + x * P(y) + \lambda x * y - P(y) * x - y * P(x) - \lambda y * x) \\
&= P([P(x), y]_* + [x, P(y)]_* + \lambda[x, y]_*).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion holds. □

Lemma 3.8. [22] *Let $(L, \circ, P)$ be a Rota-baxter associative algebra where P is a Rota-baxter operator of weight λ. Let $\alpha \in Cent(L)$ and set for $x, y \in L$*

$$x * y = \alpha(x) \circ y.$$

Assume that α and P commute. Then $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ is a Hom-associative Rota-baxter algebra.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight zero. Assume that α and P commute. Then $(L, \cdot, \alpha, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight zero, where the multiplication $\cdot$ is defined as*

$$x \cdot y := P(x) * y - y * P(x) \ for\, all\, x, y \in L. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. Let $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight zero. For any $x, y, z \in L$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z) - (\alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot z) - (y \cdot x) \cdot \alpha(z)) \\
=&\alpha(P(x)) * (P(y) * z - (z * P(y)) - (P(y) * z - z * P(y)) * \alpha(P(x)) \\
&- P(P(x) * y - y * P(x)) * \alpha(z) + \alpha(z) * P(P(x) * y - y * P(x)) \\
&- \alpha(P(y)) * (P(x) * z - (z * P(x)) + (P(x) * z - z * P(x)) * \alpha(P(y)) \\
&+ P(P(y) * x - x * P(y)) * \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) * P(P(y) * x - x * P(y)) \\
=&(P(y) * P(x)) * \alpha(z) - (P(x) * P(y)) * \alpha(z) + \alpha(z) * (P(x) * P(y)) - \alpha(z) * (P(y) * P(x)) \\
&+ \alpha(P(x)) * (P(y) * z) - \alpha(P(x)) * (z * P(y)) - (P(y) * z) * \alpha(P(x)) + (z * P(y)) * \alpha(P(x)) \\
&+ \alpha(P(y)) * (P(x) * z) - \alpha(P(y)) * (z * P(x)) - (P(x) * z) * \alpha(P(y)) + (z * P(x)) * \alpha(P(y)) \\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(P(x) \cdot y + x \cdot P(y)) &= P(P^2(x) * y + P(x) * P(y)) - P(y * P^2(x) + P(y) * P(x)) \\
&= P^2(x) * P(y) - P(y) * P^2(x) \\
&= P(x) \cdot P(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion holds. ☐

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(L, \cdot, \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associative algebra of weight λ, D is an α^0-derivation satisfying $DP = PD$. Then $(A, *, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight λ, where*

$$x * y = x \cdot D(y) \ for\, all\, x, y \in L.$$

In particular by Lemma 3.7, we get a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra $(L, [,]_*, \alpha)$, where

$$[x, y]_* = x \cdot D(y) - y \cdot D(x) \ for\, all\, x, y \in L.$$

Proof. Let $(L, \cdot, \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associative algebra of weight λ and $DP = PD$. Then for any $x, y, z \in L$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(x) * (y * z) - (x * y) * \alpha(z) - (\alpha(y) * (x * z) - (y * x) * \alpha(z))\\
=&\alpha(x) \cdot (D(y) \cdot D(z)) + \alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot D^2(z)) - (x \cdot D(y)) \cdot \alpha(D(z))\\
&- \alpha(y) \cdot (D(x) \cdot D(z)) - \alpha(y) \cdot (x \cdot D^2(z)) + (y \cdot D(x)) \cdot \alpha D(z)\\
=&\alpha(x)(y \cdot D^2(z)) + (y \cdot x) \cdot \alpha(D^2(z))\\
=&\alpha(x)(y \cdot D^2(z)) + (x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(D^2(z))\\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x) * P(y) =&P(x) \cdot D(P(y))\\
=&P(P(x) \cdot D(y) + x \cdot P(D(y)) + \lambda x \cdot D(y))\\
=&P(P(x) * y + x * P(y) + \lambda x * y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion holds. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight λ. Suppose that $f \in L^*$ satisfies $f(x * y - y * x) = 0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y) = f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ for all $x, y \in L$. For $x, y, z \in L$, we define*

$$[x, y, z]_f = f(x)(y * z - z * y) + f(y)(z * x - x * z) + f(z)(x * y - y * x). \tag{3.16}$$

Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [, ,]_f, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(x)(P(y) * P(z) - P(z) * P(y)) + f(y)(P(z) * P(x) - P(x) * P(z))\\
&+ f(z)(P(x) * P(y) - P(y) * P(x)) \in \mathrm{Ker}(P + \lambda \mathrm{id}) \ \textit{for all}\ x, y, z \in L.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, $(L, [,]_*, \alpha, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight λ, where $[x, y]_* = x*y-y*x$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [, ,]_f, \alpha)$ if and only if P satisfies

$$f(x)[P(y), P(z)]_* + f(y)[P(z), P(x)]_* + f(z)[P(x), P(y)]_* \in \mathrm{Ker}(P+\lambda\mathrm{id}) \ \textit{for all}\ x, y, z \in L.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let $(L, \circ, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter associative algebra of weight λ, where $\alpha \in Cent(L)$. Suppose that $f \in L^*$ satisfies $f(\alpha(x) \circ y - \alpha(y) \circ x) = 0$ and $f(\alpha(x))f(y) = f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ for all $x, y \in L$. For $x, y, z \in L$, we define*

$$[x, y, z]_f = f(x)(\alpha(y) \circ z - \alpha(z) \circ y) + f(y)(\alpha(z) \circ x - \alpha(x) \circ z) + f(z)(\alpha(x) \circ y - \alpha(y) \circ x).$$

Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [, ,]_f, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(x)(P(\alpha(y)) \circ P(z) - P(z) \circ P(\alpha(y))) + f(y)(P(\alpha(z)) \circ P(x) - P(x) \circ P(\alpha(z)))\\
&+ f(z)(P(\alpha(x)) \circ P(y) - P(y) \circ P(\alpha(x))) \in \mathrm{Ker}(P + \lambda \mathrm{id}) \ \textit{for all}\ x, y, z \in L.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ is Rota-Baxter Hom-associative algebra of weight λ, where $x{*}y = \alpha(x) * y$. By Lemma 3.7, $(L, [,]_*, \alpha, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight λ, where $[x, y]_* = x * y - y * x$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [, ,]_f, \alpha)$ if and only if P satisfies

$$f(x)[P(y), P(z)]_* + f(y)[P(z), P(x)]_* + f(z)[P(x), P(y)]_* \in \mathrm{Ker}(P+\lambda \mathrm{id}) \ \textit{for all}\, x, y, z \in L.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. $\square$

Theorem 3.13. *Let $(L, *, \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-preLie algebra of weight zero. Suppose that $f \in L^*$ satisfies $f(\alpha(x))f(y) = f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ and*

$$f(P(x) * y - y * P(x)) = f(P(y) * x - x * P(y)) \ \textit{for all}\, x, y \in L. \tag{3.19}$$

Then $(L, [, ,], \alpha)$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra with the multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}[x, y, z] :=&(f(x)P(y) - f(y)P(x)) * z - z * (f(x)P(y) - f(y)P(x)) \\ &+ (f(z)P(x) - f(x)P(z)) * y - y * (f(z)P(x) - f(x)P(z)) \\ &+ (f(y)P(z) - f(z)P(y)) * x - x * (f(y)P(z) - f(z)P(y)).\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Further, P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [, ,], \alpha)$ if and only if P satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&f(x)(P^2(y) * P^2(z) - P^2(z) * P^2(y)) + f(y)(P^2(z) * P^2(x) - P^2(x) * P^2(z)) \\ &+ f(z)(P^2(x) * P^2(y) - P^2(y) * P^2(x)) = 0 \ \textit{for all}\, x, y, z \in L.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$ is a Hom-preLie algebra with the multiplication

$$x \cdot y = P(x) * y - y * P(x) \ \textit{for all}\, x, y \in L$$

and P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the Hom-preLie algebra $(L, \cdot, \alpha)$.

If $f \in L^*$ satisfying $f(x \cdot y - y \cdot x) = 0$, that is, f satisfies Eq. (3.19), then by Lemma 3.11, $(L, [, ,], \alpha)$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra, where

$$\begin{aligned}[x, y, z] =&f(x)(y \cdot z - z \cdot y) + f(y)(z \cdot x - x \cdot z) + f(z)(x \cdot y - y \cdot x) \\ =&f(x)(P(y) * z - z * P(y) - P(z) * y + y * P(z)) \\ &+ f(y)(P(z) * x - x * P(z) - P(x) * z + z * P(x)) \\ &+ f(z)(P(x) * y - y * P(x) - P(y) * x + x * P(y)) \\ =&(f(x)P(y) - f(y)P(x)) * z - z * (f(x)P(y) - f(y)P(x)) \\ &+ (f(z)P(x) - f(x)P(z)) * y - y * (f(z)P(x) - f(x)P(z)) \\ &+ (f(y)P(z) - f(z)P(y)) * x - x * (f(y)P(z) - f(z)P(y)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Eq. (3.20) holds.

By Theorem 3.11, P is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,],\alpha)$ if and only if P satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& P(f(x)(P(y)\cdot P(z)-P(z)\cdot P(y))+f(y)(P(z)\cdot P(x)-P(x)\cdot P(z))\\
&+f(z)(P(x)\cdot P(y)-P(y)\cdot P(x)))\\
=& f(x)P(P^2(y)*P(z)-P(z)*P^2(y)-P^2(z)*P(y)+P(y)*P^2(z))\\
&+f(y)P(P^2(z)*P(x)-P(x)*P^2(z)-P^2(x)*P(z)+P(z)*P^2(x))\\
&+f(z)P(P^2(x)*P(y)-P(y)*P^2(x)-P^2(y)*P(x)+P(x)*P^2(y))\\
=& f(x)(P^2(y)*P^2(z)-P^2(z)*P^2(y))+f(y)(P^2(z)*P^2(x)-P^2(x)*P^2(z))\\
&+f(z)(P^2(x)*P^2(y)-P^2(y)*P^2(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement. □

4 Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associtive algebras

In this section, we construct Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras from commutative Hom-associtive algebras together with involutions and derivations. We first recall the definition of the involution.

Definition 4.1. [9] *Let A be a commutative Hom-associtive algebra. If a linear map $\omega: A\to A$ satisfying for every $a,b\in A$,*

$$\omega(ab)=\omega(a)\omega(b)$$

and

$$\omega^2(a)=a,$$

then ω is called an involution of A.

Let $(L,\cdot,\alpha)$ be a commutative Hom-associtive algebra, D be a α^0-derivation and f in L^* satisfying $f(D(x)\cdot y)=f(x\cdot D(y)),\ f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$. Then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.10, $(L,[,,]_{f,D},\alpha)$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra, where

$$[x,y,z]_{f,D}:=\begin{vmatrix} f(x) & f(y) & f(z)\\ D(x) & D(y) & D(z)\\ x & y & z\end{vmatrix}$$

for $x,y,z\in L$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(L,\cdot,\alpha)$ be a Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associtive algebra of weight λ, D be an α^0-derivation satisfying $DP=PD$ and f in L^* satisfying $f(D(x)\cdot y)=$*

$f(x\cdot D(y))$, $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$. Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_{f,D},\alpha)$ if and only if P satisfies

$$\begin{vmatrix} f(x) & f(y) & f(z) \\ DP(x) & DP(y) & DP(z) \\ P(x) & P(y) & P(z) \end{vmatrix} \in \operatorname{Ker}(P+\lambda \mathrm{id}) \ for\, all\, x,y,z\in L.$$

Proof. The result follows directly from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.10. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(L,\cdot,\alpha)$ be a commutative Hom-algebra. For a $3\times 3-$ matrix M, we use the notation*

$$M:=\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}=[\vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{z}]$$

and the corresponding determinant, where $\vec{x},\vec{y}$ and $\vec{z}$ denote the column vectors. Let $P:L\to L$ be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ and let $P(\vec{x}),P(\vec{y})$ and $P(\vec{z})$ denote the images of the column vectors. Then we have

$$\mid P(\vec{x}) \quad P(\vec{y}) \quad P(\vec{z}) \mid = P\left(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}} \lambda^{|I|-1} \mid \hat{P}(\vec{x}) \quad \hat{P}(\vec{y}) \quad \hat{P}(\vec{z}) \mid \right).$$

Proof. By the definition of determinants, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mid P(\vec{x}) \quad P(\vec{y}) \quad P(\vec{z}) \mid &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_3} sgn(\sigma)P(x_{\sigma(1)})P(y_{\sigma(2)})P(z_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_3} sgn(\sigma)P\left(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\hat{P}(x_{\sigma(1)})\hat{P}(y_{\sigma(2)})\hat{P}(z_{\sigma(3)})\right) \\ &= P\left(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\mid \hat{P}(\vec{x}) \quad \hat{P}(\vec{y}) \quad \hat{P}(\vec{z}) \mid\right) \end{aligned}$$

as needed. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let (L,α) be a commutative multiplicative Hom-associtive algebra, D be an α^0-derivation of L and $\omega:L\to L$ be an involution of L satisfying*

$$D(xy)=D(x)y+xD(y) \ for\, all\, x,y\in L,$$

$$\omega D+D\omega=0$$

and

$$\omega\alpha=\alpha\omega.$$

Then $(L, [,,]_{\omega,D}, \alpha)$ *is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra with the multiplication* $[,,]_{\omega,D} : L\otimes L\otimes L \to L,\ \forall\, x, y, z \in L,$

$$[x, y, z]_{\omega,D} = \begin{vmatrix} \omega(x) & \omega(y) & \omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D(x) & D(y) & D(z) \end{vmatrix}. \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. It is clear that $[,,]_{w,D}$ is a 3-ary linear skew-symmetric multiplication on L. Now we prove that $[,,]_{w,D}$ satisfies Eq. (2.3). Since L is commutative and ω is an involution of L which satisfies $\omega D + D\omega = 0$ and $\omega\alpha = \alpha\omega$, by Eq. (4.21), $\forall\, x, y, z, u, v \in L$,

$$\omega([x, y, z]_{\omega,D}) = \begin{vmatrix} \omega(x) & \omega(y) & \omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D\omega(x) & D\omega(y) & D\omega(z) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$D([x, y, z]_{\omega,D}) = \begin{vmatrix} D\omega(x) & D\omega(y) & D\omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D(x) & D(y) & D(z) \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \omega(x) & \omega(y) & \omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D^2(x) & D^2(y) & D^2(z) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y, z]_{\omega,D}, \alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_{\omega,D} &= \begin{vmatrix} \omega([x, y, z]_{\omega,D}) & \omega\alpha(u) & \omega\alpha(v) \\ ([x, y, z]_{\omega,D}) & \alpha(u) & \alpha(v) \\ D([x, y, z]_{\omega,D}) & D\alpha(u) & D\alpha(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \omega(x) & \omega(y) & \omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D\omega(x) & D\omega(y) & D\omega(z) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha(u) & \alpha(v) \\ D\alpha(u) & D\alpha(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{vmatrix} \omega(x) & \omega(y) & \omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D(x) & D(y) & D(z) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega\alpha(u) & \omega\alpha(v) \\ D\alpha(u) & D\alpha(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{vmatrix} D\omega(x) & D\omega(y) & D\omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D(x) & D(y) & D(z) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega\alpha(u) & \omega\alpha(v) \\ \alpha(u) & \alpha(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{vmatrix} \omega(x) & \omega(y) & \omega(z) \\ x & y & z \\ D^2(x) & D^2(y) & D^2(z) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega\alpha(u) & \omega\alpha(v) \\ \alpha(u) & \alpha(v) \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[[x, u, v]_{\omega,D}, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)]_{\omega,D} + [[y, u, v]_{\omega,D}, \alpha(z), \alpha(x)]_{\omega,D} + [[z, u, v]_{\omega,D}, \alpha(x), \alpha(y)]_{\omega,D} \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} D\omega(x) \begin{vmatrix} \alpha(y) & \alpha(z) \\ D\alpha(y) & D\alpha(z) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(u) & \omega(v) \\ u & v \end{vmatrix} \\ &+ \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} x \begin{vmatrix} \omega\alpha(y) & \omega\alpha(z) \\ D\alpha(y) & D\alpha(z) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(u) & \omega(v) \\ u & v \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} D\omega(x)\begin{vmatrix} \omega\alpha(y) & \omega\alpha(z) \\ \alpha(y) & \alpha(z) \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} u & v \\ D(u) & D(v) \end{vmatrix} \\
&+\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} D^2(x)\begin{vmatrix} \omega\alpha(y) & \omega\alpha(z) \\ \alpha(y) & \alpha(z) \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} \omega(u) & \omega(v) \\ u & v \end{vmatrix} \\
=&[[x,y,z]_{\omega,D},\alpha(u),\alpha(v)]_{\omega,D},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z}$ is the circulation of x, y, z, for example

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} D\omega(x)\begin{vmatrix} \alpha(y) & \alpha(z) \\ D\alpha(y) & D\alpha(z) \end{vmatrix} \\
&= D\omega(x)\begin{vmatrix} \alpha(y) & \alpha(z) \\ D\alpha(y) & D\alpha(z) \end{vmatrix} + D\omega(y)\begin{vmatrix} \alpha(z) & \alpha(x) \\ D\alpha(z) & D\alpha(x) \end{vmatrix} + D\omega(z)\begin{vmatrix} \alpha(x) & \alpha(y) \\ D\alpha(x) & D\alpha(y) \end{vmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $(L, [, ,]_{\omega,D})$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra in the multiplication (4.21). ☐

Theorem 4.5. *Let (L, α, P) be a Rota-Baxter commutative Hom-associative algebra of weight λ, D be an α^0-derivation of L and $\omega : L \to L$ be an involution of L satisfying*

$$
\omega D + D\omega = 0,\ \omega\alpha = \alpha\omega,\ PD = DP \, and \, P\omega = \omega P.
$$

Then P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [, ,]_{\omega,D}, \alpha)$, where $[, ,]_{\omega,D}$ is defined by Eq. *(4.21).*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L$. By Lemma 4.3 and Eq. (4.21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
[P(x_1), P(x_2), P(x_3)] =&\left| \ \omega P(\vec{x}) \quad P(\vec{x}) \quad DP(\vec{x}) \ \right| \\
=&\left| \ P\omega(\vec{x}) \quad P(\vec{x}) \quad PD(\vec{x}) \ \right| \\
=&P\left(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\left| \ \hat{P}\omega(\vec{x}) \quad \hat{P}(\vec{x}) \quad \hat{P}D(\vec{x}) \ \right|\right) \\
=&P\left(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}\left| \ \omega\hat{P}(\vec{x}) \quad \hat{P}(\vec{x}) \quad D\hat{P}(\vec{x}) \ \right|\right) \\
=&P\left(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}[\hat{P}(x_1), \hat{P}(x_2), \hat{P}(x_3)]\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

This is what we need. ☐

5 Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras

Let $(L,[,,],\alpha,P)$ be a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight λ. Using the notation in Eq. (2.10), we define a ternary operation on L by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x_1,x_2,x_3]_P\\
=&\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}}\lambda^{|I|-1}[\hat{P}_I(x_1),\hat{P}_I(x_2),\hat{P}_I(x_3)]\\
=&[P(x_1),P(x_2),x_3]+[P(x_1),x_2,P(x_3)]+[x_1,P(x_2),P(x_3)]\\
&+\lambda[P(x_1),x_2,x_3]+\lambda[x_1,P(x_2),x_3]+\lambda[x_1,x_2,P(x_3)]+\lambda^2[x_1,x_2,x_3],
\end{aligned}\tag{5.22}$$

for $x_1,x_2,x_3\in L$.

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(L,[,,],\alpha,P)$ be a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight λ. Assume that α and P commute. Then with $[,,]$ in* Eq. *(5.22), $(L,[,,]_P,\alpha,P)$ is a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight λ.*

Proof. First we prove that $(L,[,,]_P,\alpha)$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra. It is clear that $[,,]_P$ is multi-linear and skew-symmetric.

Let $x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5\in L$. Denote $y_1=[x_1,x_2,x_3]_P, y_2=\alpha(x_4), y_3=\alpha(x_5)$. Then by Eqs. (2.4), (2.10) and (5.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_P,\alpha(x_4),\alpha(x_5)]_P\\
=&[y_1,y_2,y_3]_P\\
=&\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\}}\lambda^{|I|-1}[\hat{P}_I(y_1),\hat{P}_I(y_2),\hat{P}_I(y_3)]\\
=&\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\},1\notin I}\lambda^{|I|-1}[P(y_1),\hat{P}_I(y_2),\hat{P}_I(y_3)]+\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\},1\in I}\lambda^{|I|-1}[y_1,\hat{P}_I(y_2),\hat{P}_I(y_3)]\\
=&\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\},1\notin I}\lambda^{|I|-1}[[P(x_1),P(x_2),P(x_3)],\hat{P}_I(y_2),\hat{P}_I(y_3)]\\
&+\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,2,3\},1\in I}\lambda^{|I|-1}\left[\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subseteq\{1,2,3\}}\lambda^{|J|-1}[\hat{P}_J(x_1),\hat{P}_J(x_2),\hat{P}_J(x_3)],\hat{P}_I(y_2),\hat{P}_I(y_3)\right]\\
=&\sum_{\emptyset\neq K\subseteq\{1,\cdots,5\},K\cap\{1,2,3\}=\emptyset}\lambda^{|K|-1}[[\hat{P}_K(x_1),\hat{P}_K(x_2),\hat{P}_K(x_3)],\alpha(\hat{P}_K(x_4)),\alpha(\hat{P}_K(x_5))]\\
&+\sum_{\emptyset\neq K\subseteq\{1,\cdots,5\},K\cap\{1,2,3\}\neq\emptyset}\lambda^{|K|-1}[[\hat{P}_K(x_1),\hat{P}_K(x_2),\hat{P}_K(x_3)],\alpha(\hat{P}_K(x_4)),\alpha(\hat{P}_K(x_5))]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\emptyset \neq K \subseteq \{1,\cdots,5\}} \lambda^{|K|-1}[[\hat{P}_K(x_1), \hat{P}_K(x_2), \hat{P}_K(x_3)], \alpha(\hat{P}_K(x_4)), \alpha(\hat{P}_K(x_5))].$$

Since $(L, [,,], \alpha)$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra, for any given $\emptyset \neq K \subseteq \{1, \cdots, 5\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[[\hat{P}_K(x_1), \hat{P}_K(x_2), \hat{P}_K(x_3)], \hat{P}_K(x_4), \hat{P}_K(x_5)]\\
=&[[\hat{P}_K(x_1), \hat{P}_K(x_4), \hat{P}_K(x_5)], \hat{P}_K(x_2), \hat{P}_K(x_3)]\\
&+ [[\hat{P}_K(x_2), \hat{P}_K(x_4), \hat{P}_K(x_5)], \hat{P}_K(x_3), \hat{P}_K(x_1)]\\
&+ [[\hat{P}_K(x_3), \hat{P}_K(x_4), \hat{P}_K(x_5)], \hat{P}_K(x_1), \hat{P}_K(x_2)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from the above sum, we conclude that $(L, [,,]_P, \alpha)$ is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra.

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[P(x_1), P(x_2), P(x_3)]_P &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}[\hat{P}_I(P(x_1)), \hat{P}_I(P(x_2)), \hat{P}_I(P(x_3))]\\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}[P(\hat{P}_I(x_1)), P(\hat{P}_I(x_2)), P(\hat{P}_I(x_3))]\\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}P([\hat{P}_I(x_1), \hat{P}_I(x_2), \hat{P}_I(x_3)]).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that P is a Rota-Baxter operator on $(L, [,,]_P, \alpha)$. ☐

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(L, [,,], \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight λ. Let d be a differential operator of weight λ on $(L, [,,], \alpha)$ satisfying $dP = Pd$ and $\alpha P = P\alpha$. Then d is a derivation of weight λ on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [,,]_P, \alpha)$, where $[,,]_P$ is defined in* Eq. *(5.22).*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L$. Using the nation in Eqs. (2.8) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d([x_1, x_2, x_3]_P) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1} d[\hat{P}_I(x_1), \hat{P}_I(x_2), \hat{P}_I(x_3)]\\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1} \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|J|-1}[\bar{d}_J\hat{P}_I(x_1), \bar{d}_J\hat{P}_I(x_2), \bar{d}_J\hat{P}_I(x_3)] \right)\\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|J|-1} \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|I|-1}[\hat{P}_I\bar{d}_J(x_1), \hat{P}_I\bar{d}_J(x_2), \hat{P}_I\bar{d}_J(x_3)] \right)\\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1,2,3\}} \lambda^{|J|-1}[\bar{d}_J(x_1), \bar{d}_J(x_2), \bar{d}_J(x_3)]_P.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, d is a derivation of weight λ on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L, [,,]_P, \alpha)$. ☐

Corollary 5.3. *Let $(L,[,,],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra and α be an algebraic automorphism, d be an invertible derivation of L of weight λ. Assume that α and P commute. Then $(L,[,,]_{d^{-1}\alpha},\alpha)$ with $[,,]_{d^{-1}\alpha}$ defined in* Eq.*(5.22) is a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra. Further*

$$[x,y,z]_{d^{-1}\alpha}=d([d^{-1}(x),d^{-1}(y),d^{-1}(z)])\ for\, all\, x,y,z\in L, \tag{5.23}$$

and d is a derivation of weight λ on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_{d^{-1}\alpha},\alpha)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, $d^{-1}\alpha$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,],\alpha)$. Then by Theorem 5.1, $d^{-1}\alpha$ is a Rota-Baxter operator on the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra $(L,[,,]_{d^{-1}\alpha},\alpha)$ equipped with the multiplication $[,,]_{d^{-1}\alpha}$ defined in Eq.(5.22). By Eq.(2.10), we have

$$[x,y,z]_{d^{-1}\alpha}=\alpha^{-1}d(d^{-1}\alpha[x,y,z]_{d^{-1}\alpha})=d([d^{-1}(x),d^{-1}(y),d^{-1}(z)]),$$

as needed. The last statement follows from Theorem 5.2. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let $(L,[,],\alpha,P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight λ. Suppose that $f\in L^*$ satisfies $f([x,y])=0$, $f(\alpha(x))f(y)=f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ and*

$$(P+\lambda\mathrm{id})(f(x)[P(y),P(z)]+f(y)[P(z),P(x)]+f(z)[P(x),P(y)])=0.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}[x,y,z]_{f,P}=&f(P(x))([P(y),z]+[y,P(z)]+\lambda[y,z])\\&+f(P(y))([P(z),x]+[z,P(x)]+\lambda[z,x])\\&+f(P(z))([P(x),y]+[x,P(y)]+\lambda[x,y])\\&+f(x)([P(y),P(z)]+\lambda[P(y),z]+\lambda[y,P(z)]+\lambda^2[y,z])\\&+f(y)([P(z),P(x)]+\lambda[P(z),x]+\lambda[z,P(x)]+\lambda^2[z,x])\\&+f(z)([P(x),P(y)]+\lambda[P(x),y]+\lambda[x,P(y)]+\lambda^2[x,y]),\end{aligned}\tag{5.24}$$

for all $x,y,z\in L$. Then $(L,[,,]_{f,P},P)$ is a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight λ.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2,

$$[x,y,z]_f=f(x)[y,z]+f(y)[z,x]+f(z)[x,y]$$

defines a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra on L for which P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ. Then by Theorem 5.1, the derived ternary multiplication $[,,]_{f,P}$ from $[,,]:=[,,]_f$ defined in Eq. (5.22) also equips L with a multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra structure for which P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ. By direct checking, we see that $[,,]_{f,P}$ thus obtained agrees with the one defined in Eq. (5.24). □

Corollary 5.5. *Let $(L, [,], \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie algebra of weight zero. Suppose that $f \in L^*$ satisfies $f([x, y]) = 0$, $f(\alpha(x))f(y) = f(\alpha(y))f(x)$ and*

$$P(f(x)[P(y), P(z)] + f(y)[P(z), P(x)] + f(z)[P(x), P(y)]) = 0.$$

Then $(L, [, ,]_P, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra of weight zero, where

$$\begin{aligned}[x, y, z]_P =& f(P(x))([P(y), z] + [y, P(z)]) + f(P(y))([P(z), x] + [z, P(x)]) \\ &+ f(P(z))([P(x), y] + [x, P(y)]) + f(x)[P(y), P(z)] \\ &+ f(y)[P(z), P(x)] + f(z)[P(x), P(y)] \ \textit{for all}\, x, y, z \in L.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(L, [, ,], P)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra and $\alpha : L \to L$ be a Lie algebra endomorphism commuting with P. Then $(L, [, ,]_\alpha, \alpha, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra, where $[, ,]_\alpha = \alpha \circ [, ,]$.*

Proof. Observe that $[[x, y, z]_\alpha, \alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_\alpha = \alpha[\alpha[x, y, z], \alpha(u), \alpha(v)] = \alpha^2[[x,y,z],u,v]$. Therefore the *Eq.* (2.1) holds. The skew-symmetry is proved similarly. Now we check that P is still a Rota-Baxter operator for the multiplicative 3-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}&[P(x), P(y), P(z)]_\alpha \\ =&\alpha([P(x), P(y), P(z)]) \\ =&\alpha(P([P(x), P(y), z] + [x, P(y), P(z)] + [P(x), y, P(z)] \\ &+ \lambda[x, y, P(z)] + \lambda[P(x), y, z] + \lambda[x, P(y), z] + \lambda^2[x, y, z])).\end{aligned}$$

Since α and P commute, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[P(x), P(y), P(z)]_\alpha \\ =&P(\alpha[P(x), P(y), z] + \alpha[x, P(y), P(z)] + \alpha[P(x), y, P(z)] \\ &+ \alpha(\lambda[x, y, P(z)]) + \alpha(\lambda[P(x), y, z]) + \alpha(\lambda[x, P(y), z]) + \alpha(\lambda^2[x, y, z])) \\ =&P([P(x), P(y), z]_\alpha + [x, P(y), P(z)]_\alpha + [P(x), y, P(z)]_\alpha + \lambda[x, y, P(z)]_\alpha \\ &+ \lambda[P(x), y, z]_\alpha + \lambda[x, P(y), z]_\alpha + \lambda^2[x, y, z]_\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Definition 5.7. *[21] A multiplicative Hom-Lie triple system $(L, [, ,], \alpha)$ consists of a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space L, a trilinear map $[, ,] : L \otimes L \otimes L \to L$, and a linear maps $\alpha : L \to L$ called twisted map, such that for all $x, y, z, u, v \in L$,*
(1) $[x, y, y] = 0$,
(2) $[x, y, z] + [y, x, z] + [z, x, y] = 0$,
(3) $[[x, y, z], \alpha(u), \alpha(v)] = [[x, u, v], \alpha(y), \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x), [y, u, v], \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), [z, u, v]]$.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $(L, [, ,], \alpha, P)$ be a Rota-Baxter multiplicative Hom-Lie triple system of weight λ. Define a ternary multiplication on L by $[, ,]_P : L \otimes L \otimes L \to L$ in* Eq. *(5.22). Then $(L, [, ,]_P, \alpha, P)$ is a Rota-Baxter multiplicative Hom-Lie triple system.*

Proof. It is clear that $[x, y, y]_P = 0$ and

$$[x, y, z]_P + [y, z, x]_P + [z, x, y]_P = 0 \ \ for\, all\, x, y, z \in L.$$

Then the theorem follows from Theorem 5.1. □

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions on this article.

References

[1] M. Aguiar, Pre-Poisson algebras. Lett. Math. Phys. 54 (2000), 263-277.

[2] H. An, C. Bai, From Rota-Baxter algebras to pre-Lie algebras. J. Phys. A 41 (2008), 015201, 19 pp.

[3] J. Arnlind, A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, Ternary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras induced by Hom-Lie algebras. J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010), 043515, 11 pp.

[4] J. Arnlind, A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, Construction of n-Lie algebras and n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), 123502, 13 pp.

[5] R. Bai, C. Bai, J. Wang, Realizations of 3-Lie algebras. J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010), 063505.

[6] R. Bai, L. Guo, J. Li, Y. Wu, Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras. J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 063504.

[7] C. Bai, L. Guo, X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. 297 (2010), 553-596.

[8] C. Bai, L. Guo, X. Ni, Generalizations of the classical Yang-Baxter equation and O-operators. J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), 063515.

[9] R. Bai, Y. Wu, Constructing 3-Lie algebras. arXiv:1306.1994.

[10] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity. Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 731-742.

[11] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras. Adv. Math. 9 (1972), 253-265.

[12] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, Renormalisation and Noncommutative Geometry. Comm. Math. Phys. 199 (1988), 203-242.

[13] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, D. Kreimer, Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT. J. Phys. A 37 (2004), 11037-11052.

[14] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, D. Manchon, Birkhoff type decompositions and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff recursion. Comm. Math. Phys. 267 (2006), 821-845.

[15] L. Guo, What is a Rota-Baxter algebra. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 56 (2009), 1436-1437.

[16] L. Guo, Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra. International Press and Higher Education Press, 2012.

[17] L. Guo, W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products. Adv. Math. 150 (2000), 117-149.

[18] L. Guo, W. Sit, R. Zhang, Differemtail type operators and Gr$\ddot{o}$bner-Shirshov bases. J. Symolic Comput. 52 (2013), 97-123.

[19] L. Guo, B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values. J. Algebra 319 (2008), 3770-3809.

[20] X. Li, D. Hou, C. Bai, Rota-Baxter operators on pre-Lie algebras. J. Nonlinear Math. Phy. 14 (2007), 269-289.

[21] W. Lister, A structure theory of Lie triple systems. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 217-242.

[22] A. Makhlouf, Hom-dendriform algebras and Rota-Baxter Hom-algebras. Nankai Ser. Pure Appl. Math. Theoret. Phys. 9 (2012), 147-171.

[23] A. Makhlouf, Y. Donald, Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie-admissible algebras. Comm. Algebra. 42 (2014), 1231-1257.

[24] D. Manchon, S. Paycha, Nested sums of symbols and renormalised multiple zeta values. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2010), 4628C4697.

[25] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 325-334.

[26] G.-C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction. Gian-Carlo Rota on combinatorics. Contemp. Mathematicians, Birkh$\ddot{a}$user Boston, Boston, MA, (1995), 504-512.

[27] M. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii, What a classical r-matrix is. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 17 (1983), 17-33.

[28] D. Yau, On n-ary Hom-Nambu and Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012), 506-522.